City of Maple Ridge
ADVISORY DESIGN PANEL
AGENDA

Wednesday, November 15, 2017 4:00 pm
Blaney Room, Maple Ridge City Hall

---

1. **CALL TO ORDER**

2. **AGENDA APPROVAL**

3. **MINUTE ADOPTION** – October 18, 2017

4. **SUBMISSION MOTIONS**   4:15 PM

5. **PROJECT**
   a. **5.1**   4:25 PM
   Development Permit No: 2017-078-DP
   Applicant: Tekton Project Management, Jared and Wayne Bissky
   Project Architect: Wayne Bissky, AIBC
   Project Landscape Architect: Paul Whitehead, BCSLA
   Proposal: 4 storey mixed use building, ground floor flexible space, 2 floors of office space and a top floor with 6 rental units
   Location: 22368 North Avenue, Town Centre Area
   File manager: Chee Chan

   b. **5.2**   5:20 PM
   Development Permit No: 2016-055-DP
   Applicant: D Laird, Aplin & Martin
   Project Architect: Barnett Dembek Architects Inc
   Project Landscape Architect: M2 Landscape Architecture
   Proposal: 54 Townhouse Units
   Location: 23183 136 Avenue
   File manager: Michelle Baski

   c. **5.3**   5:50 PM
   Development Permit No: 2017-267-DP
   Applicant   Wensley Architecture (Barry Weih)
   Project Architect: Wensley Architecture (Barry Weih)
   Project Landscape Architect: TBD
   Proposal   Exterior renovations to existing shopping centre
   Location: 11990 207 Street
   File manager: A. Kopystynski

6. NEW & UNFINISHED BUSINESS

7. INTERSECTIONS EVENT – Roger Amenyogbe

8. CORRESPONDENCE

9. ADJOURNMENT

/rm

Standard ADP Resolution clause options:

1) The application be supported as presented and the applicant proceed to Council for approval.

2) The application be supported and the following concerns be addressed as the design develops and submitted to Planning staff for follow-up:

3) The following concerns be addressed and digital versions of revised drawings & memo be submitted to Planning staff; and further that Planning staff forward this on to the Advisory Design Panel for information.

4) The proposal be re-submitted and presented at a future Advisory Design Panel meeting with the following concerns addressed:

5) That Council be advised that the Advisory Design Panel does not support this proposal for the following reasons: